



Sh. Jasveer Singh, (9781599959)

S/o Sh. Joginder Singh,
R/o Village MeghaPanj Grain Hithar,
Tehsil Guruarsahai, Distt Ferozepur-152024

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Director,
Rural Development and Panchayat Department, Punjab,
Mohali.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Director,
Rural Development and Panchayat Department, Punjab,
Mohali.

Appeal Case No.757 of 2021

RTI application filed on : 08-10-2020
PIO replied on : -
First appeal filed on : 26-11-2020
First Appellate Authority order : -

Present: Appellant: Sh. Jasveer Singh

Respondent: Sh. Harjinder Singh (DDPO) 9814074231


Sh. Gurmeet Singh (BDPO) 9914412211

ORDER (Fifth Hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous orders of the Commission dated 20.04.2021.
2. Respondent Sh. Gurmeet Singh made a written submission in the court today the relevant portion of which is reproduced below:

ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਖੇ ਜਦੋਂ ਚੇਅਰਮੈਨ ਨੇ ਕਿ ਉਹਨਾਂ ਦੇ ਸਵਾਲ
ਨੂੰ ਦੇ ਜਵਾਬ ਵਿਚ ਚੇਅਰਮੈਨ ਨੇ ਕਿ ਜਾਨਕਾਰੀ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਜਨਵਰੀ 2021
ਦੇ ਵਿਚਕਾਰ ਚਾਈ ਗਈ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ 228509/1 ਜਦੋਂ 216 ਦੀ
216 ਦੀ ਆਰਟੀਕਲ ਦੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਜਦੋਂ ਨੇਵਿਨ ਸ਼ਾਹ
ਦੀਤੇ ਗਈ ਹਨ। ਜਿਸ 'ਤੇ ਪੰਜਾਬੀ ਸਰਕਾਰ ਦੀ ਸਿਖਰ
ਦੇ ਸਭ ਜਦੋਂ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਨੂੰ ਵਿਚਕਾਰ ਵਿਚਕਾਰ ਤੁਰੰਤ ਮੁਅੱਤਲ
22 ਵਿਚਕਾਰ ਕਿਸੇ ਨੀ। ਅਤੇ ਤੁਹਾਡੇ ਕੁਝ ਸ਼ਾਹ ਦੀ ਚੀਫ਼ਿੰਗ ਵਿਚਕਾਰ।
ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਵਿਚਕਾਰ ਸਿਖਰ ਦੀ ਨੂੰ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਉਹਨਾਂ ਕਾਰਜਾਤਮਕ
ਉਹਨਾਂ ਦੇ ਸਵਾਲ ਹੈ।

ਕਿ ਚੀ. 26/07/22


ਪੁਸ਼ਟਿਤ ਵਿਚਕਾਰ ਅਤੇ ਪੀ.ਸੀ.ਸੀ.
ਗੁਰੂ ਗੋਬਿੰਦ ਸਿੰਘ (ਵਿਕਾਸ)

Appeal Case No.757 of 2021

3. After hearing both the parties and going through the written submission of the respondent PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been suitably replied. Moreover, the factual position has been brought to the notice of the appellant by the respondent for further query, he can file a fresh RTI application to the concerned department.

Accordingly the case is disposed of and closed.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 26.07.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Inderjit Singh (RTI Activist), (7888383867)
C/o Chamber No 141-A, , New Court,
Jalandhar.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,
Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority
O/o ADC (Development),
Jalandhar.

Appeal Case No.470 of 2021

Present: Appellant: Sh. Inderjit Singh
Respondent: Sh. Gurpreet Singh (DDPO) 9815244331

ORDER (Seventh Hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 18.04.2022.
2. Respondent, Sh. Gurpreet Singh stated that information sought by the appellant has already been provided to the appellant and no any other information is pending in the office record. He made a written submission regarding this in the court the relevant portion of which is reproduced below:-

ਕੇਸ 470 ਦੇ ਅਧੀਨ ਪਿਛਲੇ ਆਰਡਰ ਨਾਲ ਜੁੜੇ ਪਤਰਾਂ ਦੇ
ਜਵਾਬ ਵੀ: 2989 ਮਿਤੀ:- 17-8-21 ਨੂੰ ਪੇਸ਼ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ
ਪਤਰਾਂ ਅਧੀਨ ਵੀ ਪਿਛਲੇ ਆਰਡਰ ਦੇ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਪਤਰਾਂ
ਦੇ ਅਧੀਨ ਪਿਛਲੇ ਆਰਡਰ ਦੇ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਪਤਰਾਂ
ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਪੇਸ਼ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਹਨ। ਪਤਰਾਂ ਦੇ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ
ਪਿਛਲੇ ਆਰਡਰ ਦੇ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਪਤਰਾਂ
ਮਿਤੀ:- 26/07/2022

—
PIO - ਜਿਸਨੇ ਪਿਛਲੇ ਆਰਡਰ ਦੇ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਪਤਰਾਂ
ਪੇਸ਼ ਕੀਤੇ।

Appeal Case No.470 of 2021

3. After hearing both the parties and going through the information supplied by the respondent PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been suitably replied and the information has been supplied to the best extent. Moreover, the factual position has been brought to the notice of the appellant by the respondent ..

Therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is disposed & closed.

Chandigarh
Dated: 26.07.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Inderjit Singh (RTI Activist), (7888383867)

C/o Chamber No 141-A, , New Court,
Jalandhar.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,
Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o ADC (Development),
Jalandhar.

Appeal Case No.471 of 2021

Present: Appellant: Sh. Inderjit Singh

Respondent: Sh. Gurpreet Singh (DDPO) 9815244331

ORDER (Seventh Hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 18.04.2022.
2. Respondent, Sh. Gurpreet Singh has prayed for an adjournment and assured the commission to provide the requisite information to the appellant within 30 days.
3. After hearing both the parties and as per the assurance given by the respondent Sh. Gurpreet Singh, the Commission offers the respondent authority another opportunity to furnish the information/reply requested by the appellant. Falling to this adverse view will be taken under RTI Act 2005.
4. To come up on 19.09.2022 through personal hearing at 11:30 am in PSIC Chandigarh.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 26.07.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. BhupinderPunj, (9915235055)

S/o Shri Braham Prakash,
186, VPO, Lohara, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Regional Transport Authority,
Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority, Jalandhar.

Appeal Case No.424 of 2021

Present: Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Sh. Kamaljit Singh (DEO) 8699713666

ORDER (Seventh Hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 18.04.2022 vide which a last and final opportunity was granted to the appellant to visit the office of respondent for record inspection, but he never turned up.
2. Appellant is absent for the second time in succession without any intimation to the commission, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say or don't want to pursue his case anymore. Accordingly this case is **Disposed of and closed.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 26.07.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. BhupinderPunj, (9915235055)

S/o Shri Braham Prakash,
186, VPO, Lohara, Ludhiana.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Regional Transport Authority,
Amritsar.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Secretary,
Regional Transport Authority, Amritsar.

Appeal Case No.425 of 2021

Present: Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Sh. Kamaljit Singh (DEO) 8699713666

ORDER (Seventh Hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 18.04.2022 vide which a last and final opportunity was granted to the appellant to visit the office of respondent for record inspection, but he never turned up.
2. Appellant is absent for the second time in succession without any intimation to the commission, it will be presumed that he has nothing to say or don't want to pursue his case anymore. Accordingly this case is **Disposed of and closed.**

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 26.07.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Jasveer Singh, (9781599959)

S/o Sh. Joginder Singh,
R/o Village MeghaPanj Grain Hithar,
Tehsil Guruharsahai, Distt Ferozepur-152024

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

.....Respondent

O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,
Ferozepur.

First Appellate Authority

O/o cum Director,
Rural Development and Panchayat Department, Punjab,
Mohali.

Appeal Case No.755 of 2021

RTI application filed on	:	13-10-2020
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	26-11-2020
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Jasveer Singh

Respondent: Sh. Harjinder Singh (DDPO) 9814074231

Sh. Gurmeet Singh (BDPO) 9914412211

ORDER (Fifth Hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous orders of the Commission dated 20.04.2021.
2. Both the parties are present and heard. Respondent, Sh. Harjinder Singh state that sought information has already been supplied to the appellant and no any other information is pending in the office record. He further added that further re- enquiry in the case cannot be done.
3. Keeping in view the facts of the case and the submissions made by both the parties it is transpired that RTI application in this instance had been adequately addressed. The Commission noted that the Appellant made suggestions in respect of the improvement in the functioning of the Public Authority and record keeping which outside the purview of the Commission. He was suitably advised to approach the competent authority for the same. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith CLOSED.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 26.07.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Nanak Singh, (9872481159)

S/o Sh. Dakhan Singh,
VPO Kanganwal (via Sandol), Tehsil Malerkotla,
Distt Sangrur - 148020

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

.....Respondent

O/o Punjab State Cooperative Supply and Marketing Federation Ltd,
Sector 35 B, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Managing Director, MARKFED, Punjab,
Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 16 of 2022

RTI application filed on	:	02-09-2021
PIO replied on	:	18-10-2021
First appeal filed on	:	28-10-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	03-12-2021

Present: Appellant: Sh. Nanak Singh,
Respondent: ASI, Rashminder Singh (9780003630)

ORDER (Second Hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous orders of the Commission dated 26.04.2022.

2. Written submission from respondent :

Sr. No.	Information Sought by the applicant	Office Reply
1.	ਮਿਤੀ 19 ਸਤੰਬਰ, 2018 ਤੋਂ ਲੈ ਕੇ ਮਿਤੀ 30 ਸਤੰਬਰ 2021 ਤੱਕ ਕਲਾਸ-4 ਨਾਲ ਸਬੰਧਤ ਪੋਸਟ ਤੇ ਉੱਪ-ਪਟੀਸ਼ਨ ਨੂੰ ਨੌਕਰੀ ਨਾਂ ਦੇ ਕਿ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਮਾਰਕੈਟਿੰਗ ਅਦਾਰੇ ਦੇ ਖਜਾਨੇ ਨੂੰ ਕੁੱਲ ਤਨਖਾਹ + ਭੱਤਿਆਂ ਸਮੇਤ ਜੋ ਵੀ ਲਾਭ ਹੋਇਆ ਹੈ ਉਸ ਗਣਨਾ ਸਾਰੇ ਰੁਪਏ/ਪੈਸਿਆ ਵਿੱਚ ਜੋੜ ਕੇ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਮੁਹਈਆ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾਵੇ।	ਬਿਨੈਕਾਰ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਵੀ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ਼ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਨਹੀਂ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ। ਵੁਸ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਜੋ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ ਉਹ create ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਣੀ ਹੈ। ਆਰ.ਟੀ ਆਈ . ਐਕਟ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਜੋ ਵੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਉਪਲੱਬਧ ਹੋਵੇ ਉਸੇ shape ਵਿੱਚ ਮੁਹੱਇਆ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾਣੀ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਬਿਨੈਕਾਰ ਨੂੰ ਹਦਾਇਤ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਕਿ ਉਸ ਨੂੰ ਜੋ ਵੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਐਕਟ ਦੇ ਅਧੀਨ ਚਾਹੀਦੀ ਹੈ ਉਸ ਬਾਰੇ specific documents ਬਾਰੇ ਸਪੱਸ਼ਟ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਵੇ।

3. Both the parties are present and heard.

4. In the light of above, the Commission observes that the sought information enumerated in RTI applications **not specific**. Appellant can only **ask** for **specific** information under **RTI Act, 2005**. However, appellant may mention what exact information as defined under Section 2(f) read with section 2(i) & 2(j) of the **RTI Act**, which will be provided. Therefore, the respondent authority is justified in denying the information sought, hence the case is **Disposed of** accordingly

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 26.07.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Ramesh Kumar, (9855833536)

S/o Sh. Amar Nath,
House No. 4, Sardar Nagar, Opp. Old Central Jail,
Amritsar-143008

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Director General of Police, Punjab,
Sector-9, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Public Information Officer

O/o Bureau of Investigation, Punjab,
Sector-9, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Director General of Police, Punjab,
Sector-9, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 3002 of 2021

Present: Appellant: Sh. Ramesh Kumar
Respondent: ASI Parshotam Kumar (O/o DGP, PB)
ASI Rahminder Singh (O/o SSP Amritsar)

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 15.06.2022.
2. Appellant Sh. Ramesh Kumar, submitted that *"the respondent has not given any consideration to his applications/projections made vide his applications dated 21.3.22 and 7.4.22. In turn, applications dated 06.04.22 and 07.04.22 have been forwarded by the Respondent P.I.O to the office of S.S.P Amritsar Rural with directions to attend the hearing on 15.6.22 and clarify the position which is quite an absurd direction as the office of the S.S.P Amritsar Rural is has nothing to do/came into. Also, Bureau of Investigation Punjab, any way concerned with his instant appeal."*
3. The commission is in receipt of communication (Affidavit) respondent APIO AIGP Pb. The relevant portion of which is reproduced below:

ਹਲਫ਼ੀਆ ਬਿਆਨ

ਮੈਂ ਕੇਵਰਦੀਪ ਕੌਰ, ਆਈ.ਪੀ.ਐਸ., ਸਹਾਇਕ ਇੰਸਪੈਕਟਰ ਜਨਰਲ ਪੁਲਿਸ ਪ੍ਰਸ਼ਿਨਲ II-ਕਮ-ਲਕ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਅਫਸਰ, ਪੰਜਾਬ, ਪੰਜਾਬ ਪੁਲਿਸ ਹੈਡਕੁਆਟਰਜ਼, ਸੈਕਟਰ-9, ਚੰਡੀਗੜ੍ਹ ਹੇਠ ਲਿਖੇ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਹਲਫ਼ ਨਾਲ ਬਿਆਨ ਕਰਦੀ ਹਾਂ:-

ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਰਮੇਸ਼ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਵਲੋਂ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ.ਐਂਕਟ-2005 ਤਹਿਤ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. ਦਰਖਾਸਤ ਮਿਤੀ 23.04.2021 ਨੂੰ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਵਿੱਚ ਮਿਤੀ 27.04.2021 ਨੂੰ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਹੋਈ ਸੀ, ਵਿੱਚ 3 ਨੁਕਤਿਆਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੀ ਮੰਗ ਕੀਤੀ ਸੀ। ਜਿਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਨੂੰ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਦੇ ਯਾਦ ਪੱਤਰ ਨੰਬਰ 3538/ਆਰ.ਟੀ.ਆਈ. - 1, ਮਿਤੀ 23.09.2021 ਰਾਹੀਂ ਸੂਚਿਤ ਕਰ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਸੀ।

Appeal Case No. 3002 of 2021

ਇਸ ਦੇ ਇਲਾਵਾ ਇਹ ਵੀ ਸਹਿਤ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਵਿੱਚ ਸਿਰਫ ਪੇਸ਼ੀ ਵਾਲੀ ਦਰਖਾਸਤਾਂ ਹੀ ਸਾਝ ਸਾਰਟੇਅਰ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਭੇਜੀਆਂ ਜਾਂਦੀਆਂ ਹਨ, ਜਿਸਦਾ ਦਾ ਖੂ ਆਈ ਡੀ ਨੰਬਰ ਜਨਰੇਟ ਹੁੰਦਾ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਹੋਰ ਕੋਈ ਵੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਦੇ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਵਿੱਚ ਉਪਲੱਬਧ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ।

ਮਿਤੀ:

ਤਮਦੀਕ:



ਬਿਆਨਕਰਤਾ

ਮੌਜੂਦਾ ਵਰਤਮਾਨ ਕੌਰ, ਆਈ ਪੀ ਕੇਮ, ਸਹਾਇਕ ਇੰਸਪੈਕਟਰ ਜਨਰਲ ਪੁਲਿਸ/ਪ੍ਰਸ਼ਨਲ-11-ਕਮ-ਲੋਕ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਅਫਸਰ, ਪੰਜਾਬ, ਪੰਜਾਬ ਹਾਈਡਰੋਕਾਰਪੋਰੇਸ਼ਨ, ਮਿਸਟਰ-੧, ਚੰਡੀਗੜ੍ਹ, ਇਹ ਘੋਸ਼ਣਾ ਕਰਦੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦਫਤਰ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਦਰਜ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਕੁਝ ਵੀ ਛੁਪਾਇਆ ਨਹੀਂ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ।

4. After hearing both the parties and going through the written submission of the respondent PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been suitably replied .Moreover, the factual position has been brought to the notice of the appellant by the respondent for further query, he can file a fresh RTI application to the concerned department.

Accordingly the case is disposed of and closed.

Chandigarh
Dated: 26.07.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.